

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,847	HEWITT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas E. Lazo	3745	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Leo H. McCormick (25,897).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2004
Time: 1400
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An agreement was reached to correct grammatical errors in the specification and claims and to shorten the abstract
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)